

# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/617,204 07/11/2003		Berthold Wedding	Q76412	3054
23373	7590 11/15/2006		EXAMINER	
	E MION, PLLC ISYLVANIA AVENUE, N.V	SINGH, DALZID E		
SUITE 800	•	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
WASHING	TON, DC 20037		2613	
			DATE MAIL ED: 11/15/200	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	Applicant(s)				
		10/617,204	WEDDING, BER	WEDDING, BERTHOLD				
Office Action Summary			Examiner	Art Unit				
			Dalzid Singh	2613				
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this commun or Reply	ication appe	ears on the cover sheet with	the correspondence a	ddress			
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MINISTRICT IN THE MINISTRICT IN THE MONTHS from the mailing date of this common period for reply is specified above, the maximum state to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months are departed term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	IAILING DA of 37 CFR 1.13 nunication. atutory period wi will, by statute,	TE OF THIS COMMUNICA 6(a). In no event, however, may a reply Il apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS cause the application to become ABAN	TION.  be timely filed  from the mailing date of this of DONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,			
Status								
1) 又	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 11 Jul	lv 2003.					
	This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b)⊠ This action is non-final.							
3)□	,							
,—	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposit	ion of Claims							
4)⊠	Claim(s) <u>1-9</u> is/are pending in the application.							
-	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
	Claim(s) <u>1-6,8 and 9</u> is/are rejected.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) 7 is/are objected to.							
· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·	Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
9)□	The specification is objected to by the	e Examiner						
	10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).								
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12)🖂	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreian r	oriority under 35 U.S.C. & 11	19(a)-(d) or (f)				
_	12)⊠ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).  a)□ All b)□ Some * c)⊠ None of:							
, .	1.⊠ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
	application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
			•					
Attachmen	t(s)							
1) 🛛 Notic	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		4) Interview Sum	mary (PTO-413)				
2) 🔲 Notic	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P	TO-948)	Paper No(s)/M	ail Date				
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)  Paper No(s)/Mail Date  5) Notice of Informal Patent Application  6) Other:								
			-,					

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
  - The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 2. Claims 1-9 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 1, 4 and 8 recites, "said fiber operates in a non-linear transmission regime to improve transmission characteristics," It is unclear how the fiber performs such function. It is well known that optical fiber is a transmission medium having predefined characteristics. Is characteristic of the fiber being modified in order to operate in a non-linear transmission regime?

Claims 4 and 8 provide for the use of said frequency shift keying modulation, but, since the claim does not set forth any steps involved in the method/process, it is unclear what method/process applicant is intending to encompass. A claim is indefinite where it merely recites a use without any active, positive steps delimiting how this use is actually practiced.

Claims 4 and 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed recitation of a use, without setting forth any steps involved in the process, results in an improper definition of a process, i.e., results in a claim which is not a proper process claim under

Application/Control Number: 10/617,204

Art Unit: 2613

35 U.S.C. 101. See for example *Ex parte Dunki*, 153 USPQ 678 (Bd.App. 1967) and *Clinical Products, Ltd.* v. *Brenner*, 255 F. Supp. 131, 149 USPQ 475 (D.D.C. 1966).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1, 4, 8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Gnauck et al (US Patent No. 5,400,165).

Regarding claim 1 (as far as understood in view of the 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph),

Gnauck et al disclose method of transmitting a digital signal over an optical fiber link,
said method comprising the steps of modulating said digital signal onto an optical
carrier using frequency shift keying modulation (see col. 5, lines 38-67; col. 7, lines 2344);

coupling said frequency modulated optical signal into an optical fiber (see col. 5, lines 38-67);

at the receive side end of said optical fiber, demodulating the received optical signal to obtain said transmitted digital signal (see col. 5, lines 38-67);

wherein for said frequency shift keying modulation step, a modulation index h<1/2 is used, and an optical power launched into the optical fiber is such that said

Application/Control Number: 10/617,204

Art Unit: 2613

fiber operates in a non-linear transmission regime to improve transmission characteristics, said modulation index h being defined as maximum frequency separation divided by the bitrate of said digital signal (see col. 6, lines 40-66; col. 9, lines 41-56).

Regarding claim 2, wherein said modulation index h is in the range between 1/2 and ¼ (see col. 6, lines 40-66; col. 9, lines 41-56; Gnauck et al disclose that modulation index could be higher or lower than 0.5).

Regarding claim 3, wherein said modulation index h is 1/3 (see col. 9, lines 41-56; Gnauck et al disclose that modulation index could be higher or lower than 0.5).

Regarding claim 4 (as far as understood in view of the 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph and 101), Gnauck et al disclose an optical transmission system comprising an optical transmitter, an optical fiber and an optical receiver (see col. 5, lines 38-67; col. 7, lines 23-44), wherein said fiber showing a non-linear transmission effect, said optical transmitter being adopted to modulate a digital signal to be transmitted onto an optical carrier using frequency shift keying modulation, wherein said optical transmitter is adopted to use for said frequency shift keying modulation a modulation index h<1/2, and an optical power launched into the optical fiber is such that said fiber operates in a non-linear transmission regime to improve transmission characteristics, said modulation index h being defined as maximum frequency separation divided by the bitrate of said digital signal (see col. 6, lines 40-66; col. 9, lines 41-56).

Art Unit: 2613

Regarding claim 5, further comprising an optical dispersion compensation module (see Fig. 1a).

Regarding claim 6, wherein said receiver comprising an optical filter to demodulate the optical signal (see col. 5, lines 64-67).

Regarding claim 8 (as far as understood in view of the 112 2<sup>nd</sup> paragraph and 101), Gnauck et al disclose optical transmitter for an optical transmission system, said optical transmitter being adapted to modulate a digital signal (DS) to be transmitted over an optical fiber link onto an optical carrier using frequency shift keying modulation (see col. 5, lines 38-67; col. 7, lines 23-44), wherein said optical transmitter is adapted to use for said frequency shift keying modulation a modulation index h<1/2, and an optical power launched into the optical fiber is such that said fiber operates in a non-linear transmission regime to improve transmission characteristics, said modulation index h being defined as maximum frequency separation divided by the bitrate of said digital signal (see col. 6, lines 40-66; col. 9, lines 41-56).

Regarding claim 9, optical transmitter according to claim 8 comprising a directly modulated laser (in optical communication system it is well known to provide direct modulation laser).

Application/Control Number: 10/617,204 Page 6

Art Unit: 2613

## Allowable Subject Matter

5. Claim 7 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

### Conclusion

- 6. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Roberts et al (US Patent No. 6,473,214) is cited to show methods of and apparatus for optical signal transmission.
- 7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Dalzid Singh whose telephone number is (571) 272-3029. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon-Fri 9am 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Jason Chan can be reached on (571) 272-3022. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/617,204

Art Unit: 2613

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Page 7

DS

November 10, 2006

Dataid Sirigh